



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Adress: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,907	09/27/2005	Gil Ben-Menachem	4239-68220-03	4584
36218	7590	07/24/2009		
KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP			EXAMINER	
121 S.W. SALMON STREET			QAZL, SABIHA NAJM	
SUITE #1600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PORLAND, OR 97204-2988			1612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/24/2009	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/550,907	BEN-MENACHEM ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Sabiba Qazi	<b>Art Unit</b> 1612	

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_(1) Sabiba Qazi, Ph.D. (Examiner).

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Wayne W. Rupert (Attorney).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 23 July 2009**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

## Claims discussed:

*Original claims 5 and 7*

## Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sabiba Qazi/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called to discuss the dependency of claim 7 (MPEP 806.01(n)). Mr. Rupert proposed some amendments in claim 7 and Examiner proposed amendments in claim 5. Agreement was reached and Mr. Rupert authorized the Examiner to make these changes in claims 5 and 7 by Examiner's amendments.